Sub-mm Variable Protostellar Sources: How to Observe and What We Learn

Doug Johnstone:

- NRC Herzberg
- University of Victoria

2020-05-06

CCAT-p 2020

The EAO/JCMT Transient Survey



8 Regions < 500 pc (GBS) 7 4 3 Year Survey

182 Protostars, 800 Disk sources **One Month Cadence**



2020-05-06

CCAT-p 2020

(Herczeg et al. 2017, ApJ)

2

The First Sub-mm Protostellar Variable:

Observing Strategy:

850 micron – SCUBA2 @ JCMT -Also 450 (but harder to reduce)

0.5 degree diameter field of view -Efficient use of SCUBA2

14" beam at 850 microns -Sufficient to separate nearby cores

10 mJy/bm sensitivity per epoch -Obs time matched to weather

Relative flux calibration ~2% -Extra care observing/reducing -Still investigating best practice

Bi-Weekly observations -Most regions monthly epochs 2020-05-06



Calibrated Light Curves and Variance:

Light Curves at 850 microns over multiple years (Johnstone et al. 2018, ApJ)



CCAT-p 2020

Calibrated Light Curves and Variance:



Automation to Detect Variables:

HOPS 358 in NGC 2068: Another PBRS (Stutz et al. 2013) – and our First Atel!

~30% decline in sub-mm flux over 2 yrs -> est. 75% decline in accretion



Teasing Out the Physical Parameters:

Fit periodograms to light curves, measure timescales and amplitudes.



CCAT-p 2020

Spectral Energy Distribution (SED):

 For a low mass star, the mass accretion releases more energy than the protostar itself produces; therefore,

$$L_{\rm acc} \sim \frac{GM_*}{R_*} \dot{M}_{\rm acc}$$

• This radiation is absorbed by the envelope and re-radiated in the far-IR to mm. Thus, the SED acts as a *calorimeter* for accretion.



 Measurements near the SED peak provide a proxy for accretion. Thus, the JCMT Transient Survey and potential CCAT-p Variability Surveys observe accretion variability through brightness variations.

Formation of a star in one slide!



Variability Diagnostics:

Years timescale ... Inner Disk?

Order unity amplitudes ...

SED variations ... extinction vs luminosity/temp changes

Circumstantial Evidence for Protostellar Variability

- CO emission in envelopes
- Bullets seen observed in jets
- Snow line location in disks
- Need for episodic accretion to account for the protostar 'Luminosity Problem'





Spitzer/Wise Variability ...

Slide from W. Fischer

Outbursts seem to be common

McNeil's Nebula / V1647 Ori (2003)



HOPS 383 (~2005)



HOPS 223 (~2006)



- Over 7 years, 3 of 329 protostars began outbursts
- Suggests ~ 800 yrs between outbursts; each protostar has many over its formation period
- But these three luminosity increases are of order ~ 10x (canonical FU Oris are > 100x)





Spectral Energy Distribution (SED):

Accretion energy is absorbed by the envelope and re-radiated in the far IR through mm. The SED acts as a *calorimeter* for accretion.



Contreras Pena et al. 2020 submitted

CCAT-p 2020



A Truly Transient Event

Searching for sources that appear in *only one* epoch: Mairs et al. 2019 ApJ





A Truly Transient Event

Searching for sources that appear in *only one* epoch: Mairs et al. 2019 ApJ







A Truly Transient Event

Searching for sources that appear in *only one* epoch: Mairs et al. 2019 ApJ





30 minute epoch observation broken into ~5 minute bins



CCAT-p Protostellar Variability

1. Availability of wide wavelength range for monitoring brightness changes

- 350µm through 1 mm (temperature/luminosity diagnostic)
- Thermal sources are brighter at shorter wavelengths with stronger variability!

2. CCAT-p is an efficient survey machine

• Need for regularly spaced observations (nightly to monthly) over years

3. Ability to monitor moderate-sized region ~10 sq. degrees

- 10 mJy/bm @ 850µm requires ~10 minutes per epoch (every ~3 days)
- 100 mJy/bm @ 350µm requires ~10 minutes per epoch (in best weather)
- Over time this also will produce extremely deep maps of these regions

4. Experience shows monitoring surveys support/enhance observatory

- Enhanced data reduction and analysis methods
- Enhanced calibration and observation diagnostics
- Telescope health diagnostics every epoch

JCMT Transient Survey: Refereed Publications to Date

- 1. Herczeg+ 2017, ApJ, 849, 43
- 2. Mairs+ 2017a, ApJ, 843, 55
- 3. Yoo et+ 2017, ApJ, 849, 69
- 4. Mairs+ 2017b, ApJ, 849, 107
- 5. Johnstone+ 2018, ApJ, 854, 31
- 6. Mairs+ 2019, ApJ, 871, 72
- 7. MacFarlane+ 2019a, MN, 487
- 8. MacFarlane+ 2019b, MN, 487
- 9. Lee+ 2020, ApJ, 889, 20

Lee+ 2019, Nature Ast, 3, 314
Francis+ 2019, ApJ, 871, 149
Park+ 2019, ApJS, 242, 27

- 13. Baek+ ApJ, submitted
- 14. Contreras Pena+ MN, submitted

- JCMT Transient Survey Overview
- Survey Data Reduction and Calibration Methods
- EC 53 in Serpens Main: A Sub-mm Periodic Variable
- Variability Across Multiple Years (Transient vs GBS)
- Secular and Stochastic Sources after 18 Months
- JW 566 in Orion: An Extraordinary Sub-mm Flare
- Rad Tran Modeling Simulated Outbursting Protostars I
- Rad Tran Modeling Simulated Outbursting Protostars II
- EC 53 : ALMA Observations of the Circumstellar Disk
- V883 Ori: ALMA Observations of ice in disk
- ALMA and CARMA Continuum Variability in Serpens
- Sub-mm Variability in Planck Cold Clumps
- EC 53: Near-IR through Far-IR SED Modelling
- Mid-IR and Sub-mm Variability of Protostars

